Tropisetron (ICS 205-930): A Selective 5-Hydroxytryptamine Antagonist

Mark G. Kris and Leslie B. Tyson

INTRODUCTION

OVER THE last decade, the control of vomiting caused by anticancer drugs has improved substantially. 10 years ago, no therapy was available to control the emesis caused by high-dose cisplatin (120 mg/m²). The average patient vomited a median of 10.5 times during the first 24 h after therapy [1]. In contrast, using currently available combination antiemetic programs developed through an intensive worldwide effort of clinical research, 63% of individuals now given the same dose of cisplatin have no vomiting whatsoever [2]. Moreover, these combination programs have become easier to give and have lessened other side-effects of therapy such as diarrhoea and restlessness. Despite these developments, however, control is not complete in a significant minority of patients and some fail to benefit.

During the 1980s, the role of the neurotransmitter serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine or 5-HT) was extensively investigated. These studies have led to the development of several potent and highly specific compounds that interact with several different serotonin receptors [3]. Because of their specificity, these compounds have further improved our understanding of the role of serotonin in both the central and peripheral nervous system [4]. Tropisetron [1H]-Indol-3carbonic-acid-tropine ester hydrochloride (ICS 205-930; Fig. 1) is a specific antagonist of the 5-HT₃ receptor (formerly called the M-receptor) developed by Richardson et al. through systematic methyl substitutions of the serotonin molecule [5]. This compound was found to block 5-HT₃ receptors on peripheral neurons [5]. Further investigation revealed the presence of 5-HT₃ receptors in rat brain tissue and demonstrated the ability of tropisetron to also block 5-HT₃ activity at that site [6]. Following the observation that tropisetron could enhance caudad directed gastrointestinal motility by Buchheit et al. [7], Costall et al. theorised that the compound might prevent the retroperistalsis caused by cisplatin [8]. Using the ferret model of cisplatin-induced emesis, Costall et al. demonstrated that tropisetron could prevent emesis in the ferret caused by 10 mg/kg of cisplatin at doses of 0.1 mg/kg and 1.0 mg/kg intravenously [8]. A dose of tropisetron of 0.01 mg/kg lessened but did not prevent vomiting. Moreover, tropisetron proved to be one of the most potent compounds tested to prevent cisplatin-induced emesis in the ferret [8]. Preliminary pharmacokinetic studies have defined a mean

terminal phase half-life of 11.1 h following a single intravenous dose [9]. The unique mechanism of action, preclinical potency and effectiveness coupled with the long half-life made tropisetron a strong candidate for study as an antiemetic for the control of vomiting caused by cancer chemotherapies such as cisplatin.

DOSE-RANGING STUDIES

Only one dose-ranging trial has been reported to date among patients receiving emesis-causing chemotherapy including cisplatin [9]. Observed effects are displayed in Table 1. Overall, no dose-limiting side-effects were seen at single intravenous doses of tropisetron between 12 and 48 mg/m². Headaches, mild sedation and mild and transient rises of serum aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) occurred. As predicted by the drug's mechanism of action and preclinical evaluations, no acute dystonic reactions or akathisia were observed.

EFFICACY TRIALS

Leibundgut and Lancranjan reported an initial experience using tropisetron in patients receiving anticancer chemotherapy [10]. They presented results in 11 patients (47 courses of chemotherapy) receiving cisplatin and other agents as the primary emetic stimulus. Nearly half had received chemotherapy previously. They gave tropisetron as two 15-min intravenous infusions at a dosage of 10 mg before and 10 mg after the chemotherapy administration. No vomiting or retching was noted in 31 of the 47 courses. Headache was reported in nine courses (19%) and mild sedation in seven courses (15%). The authors concluded that tropisetron was an effective antiemetic treatment and it was free from major adverse effects.

Stamatakis et al. conducted a double-blind, randomised study to define an optimal dose of tropisetron in 100 patients receiving cisplatin [11]. Four doses were explored: 5 mg (n=24), 10 mg (n=26), 20 mg (n=27) and 40 mg (n=23). They gave only one dose of tropisetron intravenously over 15 min prior to cisplatin. Overall, they report that 54% experienced no nausea or vomiting during the 24 h after cisplatin. These authors state that both the highest total (63%) and major (88%) control rates were among patients who received a single 5 mg dose. In the trial, 35 individuals received cisplatin at doses ≥ 100 mg/m². Among these patients, 40% had total control and 69% had major control. Headache, hypertension and somnolence occurred. No extrapyramidal effects were noted.

54 patients receiving cisplatin at doses from 50 to 100 mg/m² over 1 h were studied by Dogliotti *et al.* [12]. All were given 10 mg of tropisetron intravenously over 15 min both before and after cisplatin (20 mg total dose). In contrast with other investigators, Dogliotti and co-workers employed a

Correspondence to M. G. Kris.

The authors are at the Thoracic Oncology Service, Division of Solid Tumor Oncology, Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, Cornell University Medical College, 1275 York Avenue, New York, U.S.A.

Received 30 Jan. 1992; accepted 8 May 1992.

[IH]-Indol-3-carbonic-acid-tropine-ester hydrochloride

Fig. 1. Structure and chemical name of tropisetron.

Table 1. Dose-ranging trial of tropisetron among patients receiving emesiscausing chemotherapy at Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center observed effects

Tropisetron dose (mg/m²)	Number of patients	Headache	Mild Sedation	Mild ALT/AST rise
12	3	1	0	1
24	3	0	1	1
36	4	2	0	0
48	14	8	5	5

Tyson et al., 1989 [9].

48-h rather than a 24-h observation period after cisplatin. Overall, 66% experienced no vomiting and 21% had only one or two episodes. Observed adverse effects were headache and hypotension. None were treatment-limiting.

COMPARISON TRIALS

Bregni et al. [13] compared the effects of tropisetron and alizapride (a newer substituted benzamide) in patients previously untreated with chemotherapy receiving either high-dose cyclophosphamide (7 g/m^2) or melphalan (200 mg/m^2). 25 patients with breast cancer or lymphoma were randomised to receive either tropisetron (10 mg intravenously day 1 then 5 mg orally every 6 h days 1–3) or alizapride (100-200 mg intravenously every 4 h day 1 then 50 mg orally every 6 h days 2–3). A mean of 5.6 vomiting episodes occurred in patients receiving tropisetron as opposed to 11.2 episodes among those given alizapride (P=0.01). Reported side-effects of tropisetron were headache and hypotension. 2 patients developed extrapyramidal reactions with alizapride.

In a multicentre, randomised trial, Williams et al. compared tropisetron (5 mg intravenously then 5 mg orally daily days 2–4) with the combination of dexamethasone (8 mg intravenously then 4 mg intravenously every 6 h for four doses) plus metoclopramide (3 mg/kg intravenously then 4 mg/kg

continuous infusion over 8 h then 20 mg orally, three times a day, days 2–4) [14]. Patients were observed in the hospital for 24 h. Among the patients who received tropisetron, 20/58 (34%) experienced no emesis as opposed to 28/53 (53%) who were given the combination of metoclopramide plus dexamethasone (P=0.04). They state that the overall incidence of adverse effects for each regimen was similar with the exception of 8 patients (15%) receiving metoclopramide plus dexamethasone with extrapyramidal side-effects and 10 patients (17%) given tropisetron with headache.

DISCUSSION AND GOMMENT

The accumulated data demonstrates that ICS 205-930 can lessen or prevent emesis caused by anticancer chemotherapy. Side-effects are mild and transient. Because of its specificity and unique mechanism of action, tropisetron does not cause acute dystonic reactions or akathisia.

Although at least six trials have been completed to date, important information needed for the drug's development is lacking. Only doses from 3 mg/m² (5 mg total dose) to 48 mg/m² have been studied. No dose-limiting toxicities have been identified. The maximally tolerated dose (MTD) has yet to be defined. This information is critical to the development of tropisetron. In general, phase II (efficacy) trials are conducted using a dosage and schedule at or close to the maximally tolerated dose. Moreover, it would also be prudent to first explore the effects of higher doses in controlled, research settings rather than larger multicenter trials or clinical practice.

The efficacy data collected thus far suffers from the lack of a clearly defined phase II dose of tropisetron. It is vital to obtain such efficacy data so one can best judge the drug's overall usefulness compared with other safe and effective alternatives such as metoclopramide and ondansetron. Also, phase III efficacy trials can be designed properly only after accurate phase II data are analysed. Furthermore, the efficacy data currently available lacks information as to the optimal schedule of tropisetron. Its long terminal phase half-life of 11 h suggests that it may only need to be given once daily for an emetic stimulus like cisplatin. This would provide a distinct advantage over the antiemetic agents now available.

Study design and methods need to be standardised for all trials for such items as the major endpoint, emetic stimulus and measurement of nausea. Most investigators agree that the primary endpoint for efficacy trials is the percentage of patients having no vomiting or dry retches during the 24-h period following chemotherapy (complete control rate). Initial efficacy trials for new antiemetic agents are generally conducted with cisplatin given at a fixed dose or dose range [low dose (20–40 mg/m²); moderate dose (40–90 mg/m²) or high dose (≤100 mg/m²)] and a defined duration of the cisplatin

Table 2. Complete vomiting control rates observed with tropisetron, metoclopramide and ondansetron in patients given initial cisplatin at doses $\geq 100 \text{ mg/m}^2$

	Number of patients	Cisplatin dose (mg/m²)	No emesis (%)	95% confidence interval (%)	Reference
Tropisetron	35	≥100	40	24–58	[11]
Metoclopramide	36	120	39	23-55	[15]
Ondansetron	103	≥100	51	42-61	[16, 17]

infusion (usually less than 3 h). Secondary endpoints include the actual number of vomiting episodes and the major control rate (generally defined as two or fewer emetic episodes), during the 24-h study period and nausea. Nausea has been most effectively measured using 100-mm visual analogue scales [2]. There are no accepted objective tests to measure nausea and no categorical scales that have been proven feasible, reliable and valid. Future trials of tropisetron should attempt to standardise dose, schedule, dose and administration of cisplatin and study methods. Appropriate phase III trials can only be planned with this information in hand.

What can be concluded about the efficacy of tropisetron based on the accumulated data? Tropisetron clearly can lessen or control chemotherapy-induced emesis. Its overall effectiveness and its ability to control vomiting caused by high-dose cisplatin make it a potentially useful antiemetic. Moreover, the 40% no-emesis rate observed with tropisetron given as a single agent in patients receiving cisplatin at dose $\geq 100 \text{ mg/m}^2$ [11] is comparable with both metoclopramide [15] and ondansetron [16, 17], the currently available antiemetic drugs (Table 2).

The observed side-effects have been uncommon, mild and transient in all trials. Headache appears to be the most common adverse effect. It has been seen in all trials of this class of agents. In all cases it was mild and generally resolved without specific therapy. When given, acetaminophen provided relief. Mild sedation, hypotension, hypertension, sedation and transient elevations of serum ALT and AST have been seen. No patient with hepatic transaminase rises has developed a clinical illness and none have developed chronic elevations. This effect has also been observed with other serotonin analogues [18].

As predicted by the drug's mechanism of action and preclinical studies, no extrapyramidal reactions have been reported in any of the 256 patients in any trial (0% observed rate, 95% confidence limits 0–1.4%). The ability of tropisetron to control vomiting without extrapyramidal effects provides a clear advantage for this compound over the substituted benzamides such as metoclopramide, butyrophenones such as haloperidol, and phenothiazines such as prochlorperazine. This fact is especially important for patients at high risk for this complication including children, young adults and individuals of all ages receiving chemotherapy on multiple days [19].

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Tropisetron is a potent and selective 5-HT₃ antagonist. Trials to date show that it is safe to administer and preliminary data suggest it can effectively control chemotherapy-induced emesis (including that following high-dose cisplatin) following a single intravenous infusion. Its safety, efficacy and convenient administration schedule potentially give it distinct advantages both over metoclopramide and other serotonin antagonists.

Further trials should first focus on the identification of the optimal dosage and schedule of tropisetron in patients receiving high-dose cisplatin. Phase III trials comparing tropisetron alone with single-agent metoclopramide and ondansetron should follow. If the phase III data show an advantage for tropisetron, studies to define the lowest effective dose would then be appropriate. Additional investigations should explore the use of tropisetron in patients receiving chemotherapy (particularly cisplatin) on successive days. Tropisetron, given as a single daily dose, has the potential to allow the outpatient administration of chemotherapy programs (such as low-dose

cisplatin and etoposide) that formerly required an inpatient stay. Further evaluations of an oral formulation are necessary. The development of oral tropisetron should follow the same plan of evaluation as the intravenous form as outlined above.

- Gralla RJ, Itri LM, Pisko SE, et al. Antiemetic efficacy of highdose metoclopramide: randomized trials with placebo and prochlorperazine in patients with chemotherapy-induced nausea and vomiting. N Engl J Med 1981, 305, 905.
- Kris MG, Gralla RJ, Tyson LB, et al. Antiemetic control and prevention of side effects of anti-cancer therapy with lorazepam or diphenhydramine when used in combination with metoclopramide plus dexamethasone. Cancer 1987, 60, 2816.
- Fozard JR. Neuronal 5-HT receptors in the periphery. Neuropharmacology 1984, 23, 1473.
- Bradley PB, Engel W, Feniuk W, et al. Proposals for the classification and nomenclature of functional receptors for 5-Hydroxytryptamine. Neuropharmacology 1986, 25, 563.
- Richardson BP, Engel G, Donatsch P, et al. Identification of serotonin M-receptor subtypes and their specific blockade by a new class of drugs. Nature 1985, 316, 126.
- Kilpatrick GJ, Jones BJ, Tyers MB. Identification and distribution of 5-HT₃ receptors in rat brain using radioligand binding. Nature 1987, 330, 746.
- Buchheit KH, Costall B, Engel G, et al. 5-Hydroxytryptamine receptor antagonism by metoclopramide and ICS 205-930 in the guinea-pig leads to enhancement of contractions of stomach muscle strips induced by electrical field stimulation and facilitation of gastric emptying in-vivo. J Pharm Pharmacol 1985, 37, 664.
- Costall B, Domeney AM, Naylor RJ, et al. Emesis induced by cisplatin in the ferret as a model for the detection of anti-emetic drugs. Neuropharmacology 1987, 26, 1321.
- Tyson LR, Gralla RJ, Kris MG, Clark RA. Phase I antiemetic study of the serotonin antagonist ICS 205-930. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1989, 8, 331.
- Leibundgut U, Lancranjan I. First results with ICS 205-930 (5-HT, receptor antagonist) in prevention of chemotherapy-induced emesis. *The Lancet* 1987, 1198.
- Stamatakis L, Michel J, Van Belle S, et al. ICS 205-930: A dose finding study in the prevention of cisplatin-induced nausea and vomiting. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1989, 8, 327.
- Dogliotti L, Faggiuolo R, Berriti A. Prevention of nausea and vomiting in cisplatin-treated patients by ICS 205-930. Proc Perugia Int Cancer Conf III 1990, 120.
- Bregni M, Siena S, Zoia MA, et al. Antiemetic activity of the serotonin antagonist ICS 205-930 compared to alizapride in single-agent high-dose chemotherapy induced emesis. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1990, 9, 329.
- 14. Williams CJ, Trask CW, Pickering DGL. A multicenter randomized trial comparing the anti-emetic effects of the 5-HT³ antagonist ICS 205-930 with high dose metoclopramide and dexamethasone in patients receiving cisplatin chemotherapy. Proc Perugia Int Cancer Conf III 1990, 132.
- Kris MG, Gralla RJ, Tyson LB, et al. Improved control of cisplatin-induced emesis with high-dose metoclopramide, dexamethasone, and diphenhydramine. Cancer 1985, 55, 527.
- Kris MG, Gralla RJ, Clark RA, et al. Phase II trials of the serotonin antagonist GR 38032F for the control of vomiting caused by cisplatin. J Natl Cancer Inst 1989, 81.
- Hesketh PJ, Murphy WK, Lester EP, et al. GR 38032F (GR-C507/75): A novel compound effective in the prevention of acute cisplatin-induced emesis. J Clin Oncol 1989, 7, 700.
- Hesketh PJ, Twaddell T. A possible role for cisplatin (DDP) in the transient hepatic enzyme elevations noted after ondansetron administration. Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol 1990, 9, 323.
- Kris MG, Tyson LB, Gralla RJ, et al. Extrapyramidal reactions with high-dose metoclopramide. N Engl J Med 1983, 309, 433.

Acknowledgements—The authors wish to thank Ann Riley-Martin and Rebecca Bogan for their help in preparing this manuscript. This study was supported in part by HHS CA-05826.